

# **REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS**

## **ADDENDUM # 3**

**ISSUED: 08/29/16**

**RFP NUMBER: CSP903117  
INDEX NUMBER: LOT008**

The State of Ohio, through the Department of Administrative Services, Office of Procurement Services, for the Ohio Lottery Commission is requesting proposals for:

### **COOPERATIVE SERVICES FOR THE OHIO LOTTERY COMMISSION: Instant Ticket Warehousing, Distribution and TelSell Services**

Attached is page 17 to this Request for Proposal (RFP). Remove the corresponding page from the existing RFP and replace with the attached.

Reason for Addendum. This addendum is issued to correct the scores included for the Total Score in Section 2.9, Final Stages of Evaluation.

**PROPOSAL DUE DATE:  
OPENING LOCATION:**

**September 23, 2016  
Department of Administrative Services  
General Services Bid Desk  
4200 Surface Road  
Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395**

Total Technical Score: \_\_\_\_\_

- 2.6 PRESENTATIONS AND SITE VISITS DAS may require top Offerors to make presentations. Such presentations will provide an Offeror with an opportunity to present its Proposal and to ensure a mutual understanding of the Proposal's content. This will also allow DAS and the Agency an opportunity to test or probe the professionalism, qualifications, skills, and work knowledge of the proposed candidates. The interviews will be scheduled at the convenience and discretion of DAS and the Agency. DAS or the Agency may record any presentations. Presentations will be scheduled to be held in Strongsville, Ohio at the vendor's expense, if applicable.

DAS and the Lottery may choose to make site visits as part of the evaluation process. These site visits would be made to other states' instant ticket distribution centers. The State will select the locations and dates of any site visits. The site visits will be at the State's expense.

In this RFP, DAS asks for responses and submissions from Offerors, most of which represent components of the above criteria. While each criterion represents only a part of the total basis for a decision to award the Contract to an Offeror, a failure by an Offeror to make a required submission or meet a mandatory requirement will normally result in a rejection of that Offeror's Proposal. The value assigned above to each criterion is only a value used to determine which Proposal is the most advantageous to the State in relation to the other Proposals that DAS received.

Once the technical merits of a Proposal are evaluated, the costs of that Proposal will be considered. It is within DAS' discretion to wait to factor in a Proposal's cost until after the conclusion of any interviews, presentations, demonstrations or discussions. Also, before evaluating the technical merits of the Proposals, DAS may do an initial review of costs to determine if any Proposals should be rejected because of excessive cost. DAS may reconsider the excessiveness of any Proposal's cost at any time in the evaluation process.

- 2.7 COST PROPOSAL POINTS DAS will use the information the Offeror submits on the Cost Summary Form to calculate Cost Proposal Points. DAS will calculate the Offeror's Cost Proposal points after the Offeror's total technical points are determined, using the following method:

Cost points = (lowest Offeror's cost/Offeror's cost) x Maximum Allowable Cost Points as indicated in the "Scoring Breakdown" table. "Cost" = Percentage of Sales as identified in the Cost Summary section of Offeror's Proposal. In this method, the lowest cost proposed will receive the maximum allowable points.

The number of points assigned to the cost evaluation will be prorated, with the lowest accepted Cost Proposal given the maximum number of points possible for this criterion. Other acceptable Cost Proposals will be scored as the ratio of the lowest Cost Proposal to the Proposal being scored, multiplied by the maximum number of points possible for this criterion.

An example for calculating cost points, where Maximum Allowable Cost Points Value = 60 points, is the scenario where Offeror X has proposed a cost of \$100.00. Offeror Y has proposed a cost of \$110.00 and Offeror Z has proposed a cost of \$120.00. Offeror X, having the lowest cost, would get the maximum 60 cost points. Offeror Y's cost points would be calculated as \$100.00 (Offeror X's cost) divided by \$110.00 (Offeror Y's cost) equals 0.909 times 60 maximum points, or a total of 54.5 points. Offeror Z's cost points would be calculated as \$100.00 (Offeror X's cost) divided by \$120.00 (Offeror Z's cost) equals 0.833 times 60 maximum points, or a total of 50 points.

Cost Score: \_\_\_\_\_

- 2.8 MBE SUBCONTRACTING PLAN POINTS In the Evaluation Scoring Formula of the RFP, the Offeror who identifies one or more qualified Ohio certified MBE subcontractor and has the highest percentage of its cost proposal set aside exclusively for identified Ohio certified MBE subcontractors' Work will receive the maximum number of points set forth in the RFP. The remaining Offerors will receive a percentage of the maximum points allowed. Offerors who do not identify a percentage to be set aside for Ohio certified MBE subcontractors or do not identify one or more Ohio certified MBE subcontractor will receive zero points.

- 2.9 FINAL STAGES OF EVALUATION The Offeror with the highest point total from all phases of the evaluation (Technical Points + Cost Points) will be recommended for the next phase of the evaluation.

Technical Score: \_\_\_\_\_ + Cost Score: \_\_\_\_\_ + MBE Subcontracting Plan Score; \_\_\_\_\_ = Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_

If DAS finds that one or more Proposals should be given further consideration, DAS may select one or more of the highest-ranking Proposals to move to the next phase. DAS may alternatively choose to bypass any or all subsequent phases and make an award based solely on the Proposal evaluation phase.

- 2.10 REJECTION OF PROPOSALS DAS may reject any Proposal that is not in the required format, does not address all the requirements of this RFP, or that DAS believes is excessive in price or otherwise not in the interest of the State to consider or to accept. In addition, DAS may cancel this RFP, reject all the Proposals, and seek to do the Work through a new RFP or by other means.