



Office of
Procurement Services
Service · Support · Solutions

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

RFP NUMBER: CSP905814
INDEX NUMBER: DEV008
UNSPSC CATEGORY: 80101500

The state of Ohio, through the Department of Administrative Services, Office of Procurement Services, on behalf of the Ohio Development Services Agency, Office of Strategic Business Investments is requesting Proposals for:

TITLE: CONTRACTOR EVALUATION SERVICES FOR OHIO THIRD FRONTIER'S
TECHNOLOGY VALIDATION AND START-UP FUND
AND
OHIO'S NEW ENTREPRENEURS (ONE) FUND

OBJECTIVE: The Ohio Development Services Agency's (Development) Office of Strategic Business Investments requires a Contractor to provide services and other forms of technical assistance related to review of proposals submitted for funding under the Ohio Third Frontier program, Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund, for Fiscal Years (FY) 2014 and 2015.

RFP ISSUED: September 10, 2013
INQUIRY PERIOD BEGINS: September 10 2013
INQUIRY PERIOD ENDS: September 25, 2013 at 8:00 AM
PROPOSAL DUE DATE: October 2, 2013 by 1:00 PM

Offeror must submit both a "Technical Proposal" and a "Cost Proposal" as a part of its Proposal package. These are two separate components which shall be submitted in separate sealed envelopes/packages, clearly identified on the exterior as either "Technical Proposal" or "Cost Proposal" with the respective RFP Number and due date on each. Offeror must submit this signed cover page with its technical Proposal.

Submit Sealed Proposals to:

Department of Administrative Services
Office of Procurement Services
Attn: Bid Desk
4200 Surface Road
Columbus, OH 43228-1395

Note: Please review the [Proposal Instructions](#) on our Web site.

Offeror Name and Address: _____ _____ _____ _____ E-Mail Address: _____ Phone Number: () _____ - _____, Ext. _____	Name/Title: _____ _____ Signature: _____ By submitting a response to this RFP, and signing above, Offeror acknowledges, understands and agrees to comply with the RFP requirements and confirms all the instructions and links have been read and understood.
---	--

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Section Number</u>
Cover Page (to be signed by Offeror) and Schedule of Events	Cover (Page 1)
Glossary of Terms	Page 2
Executive Summary (Objectives, Background and Scope of Work)	1.0
Evaluation of Proposals	2.0
Cost Summary	3.0
Award of the Contract	4.0
Links to Instructions, Forms, Terms and Conditions and additional resources	5.0
Guide for Proposal Submission	6.0

SUPPLEMENT ONE

[Link to TVSF AND ONE ODSA RFP's](#)

RFP GLOSSARY OF TERMS

AA:	Affirmative Action
Contractor:	Vendor after Award
CSP:	Competitive Sealed Proposal
DAS:	Department of Administrative Services
EOD:	Equal Opportunity Division
FEI:	Federal Employer Identification
FY:	Fiscal Year (July 1 through June 30)
Mandatory:	Must, Will, Shall
OAC:	Ohio Administrative Code
OAKS:	Ohio Administrative Knowledge System (Ohio's Accounting System)
OBG:	Ohio Business Gateway
ODSA:	Ohio Development Services Agency (Development)
Offeror:	Vendor Submitting Proposal
ONE:	Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund
OPS:	Office of Procurement Services
ORC:	Ohio Revised Code
RFP:	Request for Proposal
SOS:	Secretary of State
TVSF:	Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund
UNSPSC:	The United Nations Standard Products and Services Code

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 INTRODUCTION This is a Request for Competitive Sealed Proposals (RFP) under Section 125.071 of the Ohio Revised Code (ORC) and Section 123:5-1-08 of the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC). The Department of Administrative Services (DAS), Office of Procurement Services, on behalf of the Ohio Development Services Agency (the Agency), is soliciting competitive sealed proposals (Proposals) for Contractor Evaluation Services for Ohio Third Frontier Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund. If a suitable offer(s) is made in response to this RFP, the state of Ohio (State), through DAS, may enter into a contract(s) (the Contract) to have the selected Offeror (the Contractors) perform all or part of the Project (the Work). This RFP provides details on what is required to submit a Proposal for the Work, how the State will evaluate the Proposals, and what will be required of the Contractor in performing the Work.

This RFP also gives the estimated dates on page one, for the various events in the submission process. While these dates are subject to change, prospective Offerors must be prepared to meet them as they currently stand.

1.2 CONTRACT PERIOD Once awarded, the term of the Contract will be from the award date through October 30, 2015. The State may solely renew all or part of this Contract at the discretion of DAS for a period of one month and subject to the satisfactory performance of the Contractor and the needs of the Agency. Any other renewals will be by mutual agreement between the Contractor and DAS for any number of times and for any period of time. The cumulative time of all mutual renewals may not exceed two (2) years and are subject to and contingent upon the discretionary decision of the Ohio General Assembly to appropriate funds for this Contract in each new biennium.

1.3 BACKGROUND As part of the Ohio Third Frontier's program portfolio, the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund were created to foster innovation and promising technologies to bridge voids that discourage risk-takers, innovators and entrepreneurs within the following Ohio Third Frontier technology focus areas:

A. Technology Focus Areas:

1. Advanced Materials related to advanced polymers, ceramics, composites, carbon filters and nanotubes, and specialty metals and alloys
2. Aero propulsion Power Management
3. Agribusiness and Food Processing
4. Fuel Cells and Energy Storage
5. Medical Technology related to imaging, surgical instruments/equipment, implant devices, and regenerative medicine
6. Software applications for business and healthcare
7. Sensing and Automation Technologies
8. Shale
9. Situational Awareness and Surveillance Systems\
10. Solar Photovoltaic

B. The Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund provides competitive funding to create greater economic growth in Ohio based on start-up companies that commercialize technologies developed by Ohio institutions of higher education and other Ohio not-for-profit research institutions. The Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund has been designed to:

1. Support protected technologies developed at Ohio research institutions that need known validation/proof that will directly impact and enhance both their commercial viability and ability to support a start-up company.
2. Support Ohio start-up and Ohio young companies that license these validated/proven technologies from these Ohio research institutions. For additional information, please see http://development.ohio.gov/bs_thirdfrontier/tvsf.htm.

The Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund provides competitive funding to retain and attract the best and the brightest talent to Ohio. This exciting mentorship-driven program focuses on the professional development of new entrepreneurs with the necessary ambition to commercialize new technologies and looks to link promising new ventures in Ohio with early-stage investment capital. For additional information, please see http://development.ohio.gov/bs_thirdfrontier/onefund.htm.

- 1.4 SCOPE OF WORK The Final Scope of Services will be negotiated at the time of selection and will include, but will not be limited to, the following.

Ohio Third Frontier Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund

- A. Proposal Evaluation Services: The proposals to be evaluated are in response to a FY 2014 and a FY 2015 Ohio Third Frontier Program RFP to be issued by Development. All Program information will be made available at http://development.ohio.gov/bs_thirdfrontier/tvsf.htm.

The evaluations (also referred to as reviews) will consist of evaluations of proposals for both Phase 1 and Phase 2 applications as described in the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund RFP (<http://www.thirdfrontier.com/tvsf.htm>). The evaluations will consist of a single-stage review for Phase 1 proposals and a two-stage review for Phase 2 proposals. The ten technology areas previously referenced under the Purpose sub-section are quite relevant to the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and the selected Proposer should have expertise represented covering all ten of the areas.

1. Phase 1 review - Phase 1 Applications will be from Ohio institutions of higher education. The institution's technology transfer office is selecting the institution's best opportunities based on a process that has been described in a document submitted to Development which will be available to the reviewers.

Each Proposal is limited to six (6) pages that are responses to specific questions. The application template with the questions is available for review at <http://www.thirdfrontier.com/tvsf.htm>. Evaluation will be based on criteria listed in the RFP. Technologies that meet the evaluation criteria expectations will be recommended for funding without need for rank ordering of applications.

2. Phase 2 review - Phase 2 Applications will be start-up companies that will license technology from an Ohio institution of higher education. Applicants are required to submit a letter of support from the institution's technology transfer office. Each application is limited to six (6) pages that are responses to specific questions. The application template with the questions is available for review at <http://www.thirdfrontier.com/tvsf.htm>.

Phase 2 evaluations will include a short interview with applicants that reviewers select as fulfilling the basic requirements of the RFP. The interviews will be conducted by the Proposer's representatives in Columbus, Ohio. All of the interviews will likely be conducted in a single day, but may extend to multiple days. The interview allows for the opportunity for specific questions related to the technology or business model and allows a face-to-face assessment of the experience and leadership of the start-up company

3. List of Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund Evaluation Services to be provided by Contractor.
 - a) Prepare a written description of evaluation methodology based on evaluation criteria listed in the Program RFP issued by Development.
 - b) Participate in bidders' conference or equivalent informational forums conducted for prospective applicants. Bidder's conferences are held only in conjunction with an open RFP. Other informational conferences will be covered under the technical assistance hours.
 - c) Maintain communications with Development regarding status of review process.

Contractor will perform the following services for both Phase 1 and Phase 2 proposals:

- d) Perform evaluation of proposals for funding under Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund. The evaluation criteria are Program-specific and are set by Development.
- e) Conduct initial reviews for proposals. Following the initial reviews, contractor will provide a briefing for Development staff regarding the contractor's recommendations to identify (a) which Phase 1 proposals meet the RFP requirements for funding and (b) which Phase 2 proposals are recommended to move forward to the interview stage. For Phase 2 proposals only, the contractor will conduct a scheduled series of short interviews with the selected applicants with the expectation that all interviews are to be conducted in a single day. Following the conclusion of the interviews, contractor will provide a briefing to Development staff regarding the contractor's recommendations to identify which Phase 2 proposals meet the RFP requirements for funding.
- f) Prepare a Final Report explaining the evaluation results for each proposal reviewed, a summary of the proposal evaluation findings, and the proposal review process. Given the size of awards (Phase 1 proposals recommended for funding will receive up to \$50,000 awards and Phase 2 proposals recommended for funding will receive up to \$100,000 awards), the report should include appropriately-sized insightful summaries of strengths, weaknesses, and commercial risks for proposals recommended for funding and for all other proposals reviewed. These reports will be shared with the Ohio Third Frontier Commission, other appropriate oversight entities, and applicants, and are also considered to be public documents. The summaries should be of high-quality and suitable for publication to a broad, diverse audience.

- g) Prepare high-quality presentation and written-handout materials to be used at Ohio Third Frontier Commission or other meetings. Content should include an explanation of the evaluation process; relevant non-proprietary information on the evaluation methodology; and the funding recommendations.
- h) Participate in Ohio Third Frontier Commission or other meetings to present proposal evaluation methodology, results, and funding recommendations as requested by Development. The Ohio Third Frontier Commission meets bi-monthly unless noted otherwise. Additional meetings may be scheduled if needed; however, not all meetings incorporate funding recommendations.
- i) Conduct debriefings for only Phase 2 applicants with non-funded proposals.

Ohio Third Frontier Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund

- B. Proposal Evaluation Services: The proposals to be evaluated are in response to a FY 2014 and a FY 2015 Ohio Third Frontier Program RFP to be issued by Development. All Program information will be made available at http://development.ohio.gov/bs_thirdfrontier/onefund.htm.

The evaluations (also referred to as reviews) of proposals will consist of two stages:

1. Stage 1 review - Evaluations will be based on criteria listed in the RFP. This review stage will evaluate proposals from new or existing Ohio business accelerators as described under the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund Program. Proposals that meet the evaluation criteria expectations will be recommended for the Stage 2 review with a need for rank ordering of proposals.
2. Stage 2 review – Proposals determined to make it to the Stage 2 review will undergo a more in-depth evaluation resulting in funding recommendations. The Stage 2 review entails further due diligence, and Development may also request the contractor to conduct interviews with applicants to verify and expand upon information received. The interview allows for the opportunity for specific questions related to the accelerator model and allows a face-to-face assessment of the experience and leadership of the accelerator.
3. List of Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund Services to be provided by Contractor.
 - a) Prepare a written description of evaluation methodology based on evaluation criteria listed in the Program RFP issued by Development.
 - b) Participate in bidders' conference or equivalent informational forums conducted for prospective applicants. Bidder's conferences are held only in conjunction with an open RFP. Other informational conferences will be covered under the technical assistance hours.
 - c) Maintain communications with Development regarding status of the review process.

Contractor will perform the following services for the Stage 1 and Stage 2 reviews:

- d) Perform initial evaluation of proposals for funding. The evaluation criteria are Program-specific and are set by Development.
 - e) Following the initial reviews, contractor will provide a briefing for Development staff regarding the contractor's rank-ordered recommendations to identify which proposals are to move forward to the Stage 2 review. The contractor will conduct further evaluation diligence, including a scheduled series of interviews with the selected applicants. Following the conclusion of the interviews, contractor will provide a briefing to Development staff regarding the contractor's recommendations to identify which proposals meet the RFP requirements for funding.
 - f) Prepare a Final Report explaining the evaluation results for each proposal reviewed, a summary of the proposal evaluation findings, and the proposal review process. The report should include scoring for each proposal against the evaluation criteria, appropriately-sized summaries of strengths and weaknesses for proposals recommended for funding and for all other proposals reviewed. These reports will be shared with the Ohio Third Frontier Commission, other appropriate oversight entities, and applicants, and are also considered to be public documents and may not be copyrighted. The summaries should be of high-quality and suitable for publication to a broad, diverse audience.
 - g) Prepare high-quality presentation and written-handout materials to be used at Ohio Third Frontier Commission or other meetings. Content should include an explanation of the evaluation process; relevant non-proprietary information on the evaluation methodology; and the funding recommendations.
 - h) Participate in Ohio Third Frontier Commission or other meetings to present proposal evaluation methodology, results, and funding recommendations as requested by Development. The Ohio Third Frontier Commission meets bi-monthly unless noted otherwise. Additional meetings may be scheduled if needed; however, not all meetings incorporate funding recommendations.
 - i) Conduct debriefings for applicants with non-funded proposals.
- C. Technical Services: At the direction of Development, the selected contractor(s) may also be asked to provide the following services in addition to the Application Evaluation Services;
1. Prepare written statements about modifications to applications and/or grant or loan agreement issues. The evaluators may recommend funding for a project contingent upon a change to the application or contingent upon a condition to the grant or loan to be included in the grant or loan agreement.

2. Prepare written suggestions for improving the RFP and evaluation processes. This task is to be performed upon request and is included in the planned technical assistance hours. If a recommendation for improvement is identified in the review process, Development will request that it be in writing.
3. Provide technical assistance to Development potentially related to, but not limited to, technologies, commercialization process, entrepreneurship, program design, market research, technology-based business attraction and any other related assistance requested by Development. This may occur throughout the year and is included in the technical assistance hours.

1.4.1 DELIVERABLES The Contractor will provide the services and perform the work as specified. The successful Offeror shall perform all of the following activities. Deliverables for the period on or about October, 2013 through October 30, 2015

- A. Perform proposal evaluation services including Phase 1 and Phase 2 reviews for the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund, and/or Stage 1 reviews and Stage 2 reviews for Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund;
- B. Prepare written description of evaluation methodology based on evaluation criteria;
- C. Provide technical assistance services pertaining to the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and/or Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund;
- D. Participate in bidders' conference or equivalent forums conducted for prospective applicants;
- E. Maintain communications with Development;
- F. Prepare high-quality presentation and written-handout materials to be used at Ohio Third Frontier Commission or other meetings;
- G. Participate in Ohio Third Frontier Commission or other meetings to present proposal evaluation methodology, results and funding recommendations as requested by Development;
- H. Conduct debriefings for applicants with non-funded proposals;
- I. At the direction of Development, provide other technical services in addition to the Application Evaluation Services.

1.4.2 QUALIFICATIONS Qualified Offerors have the staff with the expertise and experience to perform the services. The description must include how the Offeror meets the qualifications.

- A. Offeror must provide a general description of the company's background, nature of business activities, and experience related to the program addressed to substantiate the qualifications to provide the requested services.
- B. The Project Manager and all key personnel should be identified and their role in the project fully explained.
- C. Describe the qualifications of the team, both organizational experience and individuals who will be assigned to the project in terms of subject matter expertise directly relevant to the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and/or the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund and experience conducting reviews and proposal evaluations. It is expected that the staff will have advanced degrees in technical disciplines, venture capitalists and financiers, entrepreneurs specializing in tech-based start-up companies, familiar with technology transfer, technology incubation, and technology acceleration; the areas in the evaluation that are distinct to these programs.
- D. Explain the key personnel access to additional subject matter and business expertise, including specific individuals and/or organizations, and the staff's ability to involve those experts in the proposal review process.

1.4.3 PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS In no more than six (6) pages for the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and no more than six (6) pages for the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund, Proposers choosing to respond to this RFP are asked to format their proposal(s) within the following order and content.

- A. Provide a general description of the company's background, nature of business activities, and experience related to the program being addressed and substantiating the company's qualifications to provide the requested services.
- B. The Proposer's work plan should respond to each evaluation factor and describe or demonstrate the following:
 1. The methodology that will be used and how that methodology will allow an applicant to arrive at a highly qualified and insightful technical evaluation of proposals received in response to Program RFPs issued by Development.
 2. Briefly discuss the management plan, including the steps involved in the reviews, how the reviewers will be selected and assigned, and how quality control will be achieved. Describe the process for managing the reviews and how problems will be resolved, if encountered, to ensure deadlines are met.
 3. Describe the qualifications of the team, both organizational experience and individuals who will be assigned to the project, in terms of subject matter expertise relative to the Technology Validation and Startup Fund and/or the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund.
 4. Describe the Proposer's access to additional subject matter and business expertise, including specific individuals and/or organizations, and the Proposer's ability to involve those experts in the proposal review process.
- C. Letters from individuals who are familiar with the Proposer's relevant experience and qualifications pertaining to the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and/or the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund, including commercialization experience, start-up experience, business acceleration, venture and investment expertise, experience related to organizational evaluation, and project management experience. No more than five (5) letters are to be included.

- D. Confirm that the Proposer(s) is able to sign a Non-Disclosure Agreement prior to conducting the evaluation, if required.
- E. Confirm that the Proposer(s) has the resources necessary to evaluate Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and/or Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund proposals during FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- F. Proposers must detail the process they will use to identify, disclose to Development and manage conflicts of interest with proposals forwarded to them by Development for review or requests from Development for technical assistance.

The following guidelines are to be used in identifying a conflict of interest. Conflicts of interest extend to immediate family members of the principals of the firm and employees of the firm assigned to the project. The identification of a conflict of interest needs to take into account:

1. All names under which the firm, employees of the firm, or immediate family do business;
2. All fee simple and leasehold interests to which the firm or employee of the firm holds legal title to or a beneficial interest in real property located within the state;
3. Any business relationship or investment that the firm, employee of the firm, or anyone else for the benefit of the firm or employee of the firm had during the preceding year (from the contract date) that is valued at greater than one thousand dollars;
4. Any office or fiduciary relationship held by the firm or employee of the firm during the year preceding the contract date; and
5. Income that the firm or employee of the firm receives or expects to receive from an applicant for a Development program for which the firm has been engaged to evaluate proposals, whether or not the applicant was previously funded by a Development program, and/or any of identified collaborators of any program applicant.

Proposers must provide affirmation that they understand that if selected to perform evaluations, and a conflict of interest arises that they cannot manage internally, the Proposer(s) will be required to train a secondary contractor, selected by Development, in the use of the Proposer's evaluation tool(s) and methodology. The secondary contractor will evaluate the proposal and submit the result of its evaluation to be incorporated into the rank-ordered listing. The secondary contractor may be required to perform the same services in Section C as appropriate. The secondary contractor selected by Development will be required to sign a non-disclosure agreement with Development's proposal evaluation contractor to help protect trade secret information of Development's proposal evaluation contractor. Payment of costs of the secondary contractor will be the responsibility of Development.

- G. Proposers must provide affirmation that they will comply with Ohio Ethics Laws to the extent applicable and will not provide services in connection with grant proceeds that result from a proposal evaluated by the proposer(s).

- 1.4.4 SAMPLE REPORT(S) The Offeror will submit a minimum of one (1) sample of a project final report with the Proposal as the Offeror's writing sample for this type of project.
- 1.5 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION The process to procure goods and services by DAS is open to inspection by the public. DAS makes available prices (offered and accepted), terms of payment, Proposal materials, evaluation scores, product information, and other types of information DAS uses in evaluating and/or awarding the Contract, consistent with Ohio's public records law. DAS will seek to open the Proposals in a manner that avoids disclosing their contents. Additionally, DAS will seek to keep the contents of all Proposals confidential until the Contract is awarded. Further, the DAS will open for public inspection all Proposals provided to the DAS in response to this RFP after award.
- 1.6 REGISTRY OF OFFERORS DAS will prepare a registry of Proposals containing the name and address of each Offeror. The registry will be on the Office of Procurement Services Web site and open for public inspection after the Proposals are received.
- 1.7 INSTRUCTIONS Link to Web site for Instructions is available in Section 5.1.
- 1.8 REQUIRED REVIEW Offerors shall carefully review the entire RFP and all the referenced Web links. Offerors shall promptly notify DAS through the inquiry process of any ambiguity, inconsistency, or error they discover. Notifications must be received by the deadline for receipt of questions in the inquiry process.
- 1.9 NUMBER OF PROPOSALS TO SUBMIT Offeror must submit one (1) original, completed and signed in blue ink, and seven (7) copies for a total of eight (8) Proposal packages. The Offeror must also submit a complete copy of the Proposals on a CD in Microsoft Office (Word, Excel, or Project) 2003 or higher, format and/or PDF format as appropriate.

2.0 EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

2.1 MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS The following Table 1 contains items that are Mandatory Requirements for this RFP.

Determining the Offeror's ability to meet the Mandatory Requirements is the first step of the DAS evaluation process. The Offeror's response must be clearly labeled "Mandatory Requirements" and collectively contained in Tab 2 of the Offeror's Proposal in the "Offeror Required Information and Certification" section.

DAS will evaluate Tab 2 alone to determine whether the Proposal meets all Mandatory Requirements (accept/reject). If the information contained in Tab 2 does not clearly meet every Mandatory Requirement, the Proposal may be disqualified by DAS from further consideration.

2.2 TABLE 1 - MANDATORY PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

Mandatory Requirements	Accept	Reject
The Offeror must demonstrate, in its Proposal, it has prior experience in reviewing and evaluating competitive technology-based proposals with both technical and business plans. The Offeror must provide detailed information to prove the specific experience and how it compares to this Work.		

If the State receives no Proposals meeting all of the mandatory requirements, the State may elect to cancel this RFP.

2.3 PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA If the Offeror provides sufficient information to DAS in its Proposal, demonstrating it meets the Mandatory Requirements, the Offeror's Proposal will be included in the next step of the evaluation process which involves the scoring of the Proposal Technical Requirements (Table 3), followed by the scoring of the Cost Proposals. In the Proposal evaluation step, DAS rates the Proposals based on the following listed criteria and the weight assigned to each criterion. The possible points allowed in this RFP are distributed as indicated in the Table 2 - Scoring Breakdown. Each Proposal passing the Mandatory Requirements will be evaluated by an evaluation committee made up of a representative(s) from DAS, Agency team members, and potentially a subject matter expert or an independent consultant.

Part of this page is intentionally left blank

2.4 TABLE 2 - SCORING BREAKDOWN

A. Technology Validation and Start-up Fund Scoring Breakdown:

Criteria	Maximum Allowable Points
Proposal Technical Requirements	1225 Points
Proposal Cost	400 Points
Presentations, Interviews, Demonstrations (if applicable)	125 Points
Total	1750 Points

B. Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund Scoring Breakdown:

Criteria	Maximum Allowable Points
Proposal Technical Requirements	1150 Points
Proposal Cost	400 Points
Presentations, Interviews, Demonstrations (if applicable)	125 Points
Total	1675 Points

The scale below (0-5) will be used to rate each proposal on the criteria listed in the Technical Proposal Evaluation table.

DOES NOT MEET 0 POINTS	WEAK 1 POINT	WEAK TO MEETS 2 POINTS	MEETS 3 POINTS	MEETS TO STRONG 4 POINTS	STRONG 5 POINTS
---------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------

DAS will score the Proposals by multiplying the score received in each category by its assigned weight and adding all categories together for the Offeror's Total Technical Score in Table 3. Representative numerical values are defined as follows:

DOES NOT MEET (0 pts.): Response does not comply substantially with requirements or is not provided.

WEAK (1 pt.): Response was poor related to meeting the objectives.

WEAK TO MEETS (2 pts.): Response indicates the objectives will not be completely met or at a level that will be below average.

MEETS (3 pts.): Response generally meets the objectives (or expectations).

MEETS TO STRONG (4 pts.): Response indicates the objectives will be exceeded.

STRONG (5 pts.): Response significantly exceeds objectives (or expectations) in ways that provide tangible benefits or meets objectives (or expectations) and contains at least one enhancing feature that provides significant benefits.

2.5 TABLE 3 - TECHNICAL PROPOSAL EVALUATION

Criteria for Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund	Weight	Rating (0 to 5)	Extended Score
Offeror Profile (General Instructions – Company Profile)			
1. Company history, years of relevant experience	10		
2. Number of years in business, number of employees, financial stability	5		
3. Capacity to do the Work	10		
Offeror Prior Projects			
1. Similar scope and size, experience related to the program addressed	10		
2. Public Sector clients, Business partnerships	5		
3. Relevant experience within past five years, substantiating the company's qualifications to provide the requested services	10		
Staffing Plan (Qualifications and experience of proposed project manager and other key staff)			
1. Staff Qualifications; describe the qualifications of the team, both organizational and conducting reviews and proposal evaluations	20		
2. Reviewer(s) possess technology industry expertise, across Ohio Third Frontier technology focus areas.	10		
3. Reviewer(s) have experience with market analysis and competitive analysis	10		
4. Reviewer(s) with start-up formation and NewCo capitalization	10		
5. Reviewer(s) with new product development	10		
6. Reviewer(s) have financial assessment experience	10		
7. Reviewer(s) have prior experience in reviewing technical proposals	5		
8. Experience; qualifications of individuals (reviewers) who will be assigned to the project in terms of subject matter expertise relative to the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and experience conducting reviews and proposal evaluations.	10		
9. Reviewer(s) have demonstrated knowledge of technology-based economic development, the Ohio Third Frontier, and the requirements of the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund.	10		
10. Description of the applicant's access to additional subject matter and business expertise, including specific individuals and/or organizations, and the applicant's ability to involve those experts in the proposal review process.	20		
11. Contractor's ability to adapt readily to changes initiated by the Agency to tasks or instructions, or increases or shifts in workload	5		
Scope of Work (Work Plan)			
1. Methodologies proposed that will be used and how the methodology will allow an applicant to arrive at a highly qualified and insightful technical evaluation of proposals received in response to Program RFPs issued by Development.	20		
2. Thoroughness and appropriateness of the approach outlined in the work plan that will be used to evaluate proposals received	10		
3. Demonstrates understanding of Project, method for evaluating applications and managing and tracking progress	5		
4. Timeline proposed to complete the work, feasibility of schedule for performing the application reviews	10		
5. Recognition of the importance of protecting against potential conflicts of interest and protecting confidentiality of materials received from applicants and methods for doing so.	20		
6. Capabilities in technical writing and document presentation; (sample provided in response to demonstrate).	10		

Total Technical Score: _____

2.6 TABLE 3 - TECHNICAL PROPOSAL EVALUATION

Criteria for Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund	Weight	Rating (0 to 5)	Extended Score
Offeror Profile (General Instructions – Company Profile)			
1. Company history, years of relevant experience	10		
2. Number of years in business, number of employees, financial stability	5		
3. Capacity to do the Work	10		
Offeror Prior Projects			
1. Similar scope and size, experience related to the program addressed	10		
2. Relevant experience within past five years, substantiating the company's qualifications to provide the requested services	10		
Staffing Plan (Qualifications and experience of proposed project manager and other key staff)			
1. Experience and qualifications of individuals (reviewers) who will be assigned to the project in terms of subject matter expertise relative to the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund and experience conducting reviews and proposal evaluations	20		
2. Reviewer(s) have experience with start-up business evaluation	10		
3. Reviewer(s) have expertise in early-stage capital	10		
4. Reviewer(s) have entrepreneurial expertise	10		
5. Reviewer(s) have comprehensive insight into business acceleration	10		
6. Reviewer(s) have experience with organizational evaluation	10		
7. Reviewer(s) have prior experience in reviewing technical proposals	5		
8. Reviewer(s) have demonstrated knowledge of technology-based economic development, the Ohio Third Frontier, and the requirements of the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund.	10		
9. Description of the applicant's access to additional subject matter and business expertise, including specific individuals and/or organizations, and the applicant's ability to involve those experts in the proposal review process.	20		
10. Contractor's ability to adapt readily to changes initiated by the Agency to tasks or instructions, or increases or shifts in workload	5		
Scope of Work (Work Plan)			
1. Methodologies proposed that will be used and how the methodology will allow an applicant to arrive at a highly qualified and insightful technical evaluation of proposals received in response to Program RFP issued by Development.	20		
2. Thoroughness and appropriateness of the approach outlined in the work plan that will be used to evaluate proposals received	10		
3. Demonstrates understanding of Project, method for evaluating applications and managing and tracking progress	5		
4. Timeline proposed to complete the work, feasibility of schedule for performing the application reviews	10		
5. Recognition of the importance of protecting against potential conflicts of interest and protecting confidentiality of materials received from applicants and methods for doing so.	20		
6. Capabilities in technical writing and document presentation; (sample provided in response to demonstrate).	10		

Total Technical Score: _____

- 2.7 **PRESENTATIONS AND INTERVIEWS** DAS may require top Offerors to be interviewed. Such interviews will provide an Offeror with an opportunity to present its Proposal and to ensure a mutual understanding of the Proposal's content. This will also allow DAS and the Agency an opportunity to test or probe the professionalism, qualifications, skills, and work knowledge of the proposed candidates. The interviews will be scheduled at the convenience and discretion of DAS and the Agency. DAS or the Agency may record any presentations and interviews. The one (1) to five (5) highest scoring Offerors; but no more than the top five (5) may be required to participate. Interviews will be scheduled to be held in Columbus, Ohio at the vendor's expense.

Criterion	Weight	Rating (0 to 5)	Extended Score
Presentation and Interview			
1. Presentation and Interview	25		

Total Presentation Interview Score: _____

In this RFP, DAS asks for responses and submissions from Offerors, most of which represent components of the above criteria. While each criterion represents only a part of the total basis for a decision to award the Contract to an Offeror, a failure by an Offeror to make a required submission or meet a mandatory requirement will normally result in a rejection of that Offeror's Proposal. The value assigned above to each criterion is only a value used to determine which Proposal is the most advantageous to the State in relation to the other Proposals that DAS received.

Once the technical merits of a Proposal are evaluated, the costs of that Proposal will be considered. It is within DAS' discretion to wait to factor in a Proposal's cost until after the conclusion of any interviews, presentations, demonstrations or discussions. Also, before evaluating the technical merits of the Proposals, DAS may do an initial review of costs to determine if any Proposals should be rejected because of excessive cost. DAS may reconsider the excessiveness of any Proposal's cost at any time in the evaluation process.

- 2.8 **COST PROPOSAL POINTS** DAS will use the information Offeror gives on the Cost Summary Form to calculate Cost Proposal Points. DAS will calculate the Offeror's Cost Proposal points after the Offeror's total technical points are determined, using the following method:

Cost points = (lowest Offeror's cost/Offeror's cost) x Maximum Allowable Cost Points as indicated in the "Scoring Breakdown" table. The value is provided in the Scoring Breakdown table. "Cost" = Total Not to Exceed Cost identified in the Cost Summary section of Offeror's Proposal. In this method, the lowest cost proposed will receive the maximum allowable points.

The number of points assigned to the cost evaluation will be prorated, with the lowest accepted Cost Proposal given the maximum number of points possible for this criterion. Other acceptable Cost Proposals will be scored as the ratio of the lowest Cost Proposal to the Proposal being scored, multiplied by the maximum number of points possible for this criterion.

An example for calculating cost points, where Maximum Allowable Cost Points Value = 60 points, is the scenario where Offeror X has proposed a cost of \$100.00. Offeror Y has proposed a cost of \$110.00 and Offeror Z has proposed a cost of \$120.00. Offeror X, having the lowest cost, would get the maximum 60 cost points. Offeror Y's cost points would be calculated as \$100.00 (Offeror X's cost) divided by \$110.00 (Offeror Y's cost) equals 0.909 times 60 maximum points, or a total of 54.5 points. Offeror Z's cost points would be calculated as \$100.00 (Offeror X's cost) divided by \$120.00 (Offeror Z's cost) equals 0.833 times 60 maximum points, or a total of 50 points.

Cost Score: _____

- 2.9 **FINAL STAGES OF EVALUATION** The Offeror with the highest point total from all phases of the evaluation (Technical Points + Cost Points) will be recommended for the next phase of the evaluation.

Technical Score: _____ + Cost Score: _____ = Total Score: _____

If DAS finds that one or more Proposals should be given further consideration, DAS may select one or more of the highest-ranking Proposals to move to the next phase. DAS may alternatively choose to bypass any or all subsequent phases and make an award based solely on the Proposal evaluation phase.

- 2.10 **REJECTION OF PROPOSALS** DAS may reject any Proposal that is not in the required format, does not address all the requirements of this RFP, or that DAS believes is excessive in price or otherwise not in the interest of the State to consider or to accept. In addition, DAS may cancel this RFP, reject all the Proposals, and seek to do the Work through a new RFP or by other means.



Office of
Procurement Services
Service · Support · Solutions

3.0 COST SUMMARY

- 3.1 SUBMISSION The Cost Summary shall be submitted with the Proposal (under separate cover labeled as the Cost Proposal). All prices, costs, and conditions outlined in the Proposal shall remain fixed and valid for acceptance for 120 days, starting on the due date for Proposals. No price change shall be effective without prior written consent from DAS, Office of Procurement Services.

The Offeror's total cost for the entire Work must be represented as the firm, fixed price. All costs for furnishing the services must be included in the Cost Proposal.

- 3.2 THE OFFEROR'S FEE STRUCTURE The Contractor will be paid as proposed on the Cost Summary after the Agency approves the receipt of product(s)/services and continued completion of all deliverables. All costs must be in U.S. Dollars.

- 3.3 REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES None; there will be no additional reimbursement for travel or other related expenses. The State will not be responsible for any costs not identified.

3.4 BILL TO ADDRESS

Ohio Development Services Agency (ODSA)
Office of Technology Investments – Grants/Contracts Administration
77 South High Street, 28th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

Invoices may be submitted in arrears no more than twice per month for the work completed.

- 3.5 Please provide a separate project budget for FY2014 and FY2015 for the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund and/or the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund.

- A. Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund: Development anticipates two to three application cycles in FY 2014 and two to three application cycles in FY 2015. Development anticipates receiving 25 – 40 Phase 1 applications and 10 – 20 Phase 2 applications in each cycle.

The project budget must include the following information: Cumulatively between the FY 2014 and FY 2015 budgets, a total cost estimate for completing up to 240 Phase 1 application reviews per year and up to 120 Phase 2 application reviews per year, including Proposal Evaluations Services 1 – 3 (pages 4-5). The cost estimate should assume proposals are 6 pages in length; all interviews and meetings will occur in Columbus; and debriefings will be made for up to 20 organizations. The cost estimate should include cost detail for labor, travel, supplies, and all expenses and fees. Also, provide the number of hours of professional and staff time required.

- B. Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund: Development anticipates one application cycle in FY 2014 and one application cycle in FY 2015. Development anticipates receiving 10 – 15 proposals in each cycle. Please provide a detailed Ohio's New Entrepreneurs Fund Proposal Evaluation Services budget table for FY 2014 and FY 2015 and a supporting narrative.

Provide a total cost estimate for completing up to 30 multiple-stage proposal reviews, including services 1-3 (page 5). For each cycle, the cost estimate should assume proposals are 16 pages in length; Stage 1 Reviews will consist of up to 15 proposals per year; Stage 2 Reviews will consist of up to 8 proposals per year; all interviews and meetings will occur in Columbus; and debriefings will be for up to 8 organizations. The cost estimate should include cost detail for labor, travel, supplies, and all expenses and fees. Also, provide the number of hours of professional and staff time required. Development will not provide funds to purchase tracking software, and open ended expenses to be billed at hours needed for a task are not allowable.

3.6 COST SUMMARY (CONT'D)

RFP Title: Contractor Evaluation Services for Ohio Third Frontier **Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund**
 CSP905814
 UNSPSC CATEGORY CODE: 80101500
 OFFEROR: _____

FY14 consists of October, 2013 or upon award through 6/30/14; FY15 consists of 7/1/14 through October 2015.

For the Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund, applicants are to include a budget table identical to the following table, complete with all requested information, in addition to the detail summary as described in Section 3.5.

Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund Proposal Evaluation Services	Quantity Estimated	Unit Price Each	FY2014	FY2015
FIXED COSTS (not tied to number of Proposals; itemize any/all costs, if applicable)				
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
TOTAL FIXED COSTS		\$	\$	\$
PROPOSAL EVALUATION COSTS				
Phase 1				
Total for Single Stage Evaluations = Cost per Proposal x 120 proposals	120	\$	\$	\$
Phase 2				
Total for Stage 1 Evaluations = Cost per Proposal x 60 proposals	60			
Total for Phase 2 Evaluations = Cost per Proposal x 40 proposals	40	\$	\$	\$
Total Phase 1 and Phase 2 Proposal Evaluation Costs				
DEBRIEFING COSTS				
Total Debriefing Costs = Cost per Debriefing x 10 per Fiscal Year	70	\$	\$	\$
TOTAL OF COSTS PER FISCAL YEAR (Total fixed costs + total proposal evaluation costs + total debriefing costs)				
			\$	\$
GRAND TOTAL OF FY2014 and FY2015 COSTS			\$	\$
EVALUATION PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Number of Evaluators per Proposal	_____			
Average Evaluation Hours Per Proposal	_____			
Evaluator Hourly Rate	_____			

Technical Assistance Services, Development anticipates requesting up to 300 hours of technical assistance each for the FY2014 and FY2015 Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund. Complete the following table with all requested information:

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	FY2014 Total	FY2015 Total
Technology Validation and Start-Up Fund	200 hours	200 hours
Personnel Hourly Rate per FY	\$	\$
TOTAL COST PER FISCAL YEAR = HOURLY RATE X 200=	\$	\$

All costs must be in U.S. Dollars.

Note: Any reimbursement if travel expenses made by Grantee in connection with the Contract shall be subject to the travel reimbursement rates, limitations, restrictions, and exclusions imposed by Ohio Administrative Code 126-1-02 and any other rules imposed by the Ohio Office of Budget and Management, as such rules may be amended from time to time.

All Offerors who seek to be considered for a contract award must submit the above information in the format specified. The Original Cost Summary must be included in a separate, sealed envelope/package labeled on the exterior as "Cost Proposal" with the RFP Number and due date.

3.7 COST SUMMARY (CONT'D)

RFP Title: Contractor Evaluation Services for Ohio Third Frontier **Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund**

CSP905814

UNSPSC CATEGORY CODE: 80101500

OFFEROR: _____

FY14 consists of October, 2013 or upon award through 6/30/14; FY15 consists of 7/1/14 through October 2015.

For the Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund, applicants are to include a budget table identical to the following table, complete with all requested information, in addition to the detail summary as described in Section 3.5.

Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund Proposal Evaluation Services	Quantity Estimated	Unit Price Each	FY2014	FY2015
FIXED COSTS (not tied to number of Proposals; itemize any/all costs, if applicable)				
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
TOTAL FIXED COSTS		\$	\$	\$
PROPOSAL EVALUATION COSTS				
Total for Stage 1 Evaluations = Cost per Proposal x 15 proposals	15	\$	\$	\$
Total for Stage 2 Evaluations = Cost per Proposal x 8 proposals	8	\$	\$	\$
DEBRIEFING COSTS				
Total Debriefing Costs = Cost per Debriefing x 4 per Fiscal Year	4	\$	\$	\$
TOTAL OF COSTS PER FISCAL YEAR (Total fixed costs + total proposal evaluation costs + total debriefing costs)			\$	\$
GRAND TOTAL OF FY2014 and FY2015 COSTS			\$	\$
EVALUATION PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Number of Evaluators per Proposal	_____			
Average Evaluation Hours Per Proposal	_____			
Evaluator Hourly Rate	_____			

Technical Assistance Services, Development anticipates requesting up to 300 hours of technical assistance each for the FY2014 and FY2015 Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund. Complete the following table with all requested information:

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	FY2014 Total	FY2015 Total
Ohio's New Entrepreneurs (ONE) Fund	200 hours	200 hours
Personnel Hourly Rate per FY	\$	\$
TOTAL COST PER FISCAL YEAR = HOURLY RATE X 200 =	\$	\$

All costs must be in U.S. Dollars.

Note: Any reimbursement if travel expenses made by Grantee in connection with the Contract shall be subject to the travel reimbursement rates, limitations, restrictions, and exclusions imposed by Ohio Administrative Code 126-1-02 and any other rules imposed by the Ohio Office of Budget and Management, as such rules may be amended from time to time.

All Offerors who seek to be considered for a contract award must submit the above information in the format specified. The Original Cost Summary must be included in a separate, sealed envelope/package labeled on the exterior as "Cost Proposal" with the RFP Number and due date.

4.0 AWARD OF THE CONTRACT

- 4.1 CONTRACT AWARD DAS intends to award the Contract based on the schedule in the RFP, if DAS decides the Work is in the best interests of the State and has not changed the award date.

It is desired to award a cost-incurred contract based upon the successful Offeror's quoted hourly rate and number of hours needed to provide each of the requested services. A detailed separate Cost Summary is to be provided for FY2014 and FY2015 for the Ohio Third Frontier Program and for the technical services in the format provided in the Cost Summary Section 3.0.

DAS expects the Contractor to commence the Work upon receipt of a state issued purchase order. If DAS awards a Contract pursuant to this RFP and the Contractor is unable or unwilling to commence the Work, DAS reserves the right to cancel the Contract and return to the original RFP process and evaluate any remaining Offeror's Proposals reasonably susceptible of being selected for award of the Contract. The evaluation process will resume with the next highest ranking, viable Proposal.

- 4.2 CONTRACT If this RFP results in a Contract award, the Contract will consist of this RFP including the Terms and Conditions, all forms, written addenda to this RFP, the Contractor's accepted Proposal and written authorized addenda to the Contractor's Proposal. It will also include any materials incorporated by reference in the above documents and any purchase orders and amendments issued under the Contract. The general terms and conditions for the Contract are contained in the following link:

<https://procure.ohio.gov/Zip/RFP%20Instructions/5.3%20Terms%20and%20Conditions.pdf>.

If there are conflicting provisions between the documents that make up the Contract, the order of precedence for the documents is as follows:

1. The one-page Contract Signature Page, Form 5.2.2
2. The RFP, as amended, including the Terms and Conditions;
3. The documents and materials incorporated by reference in the RFP;
4. The Executive Order. EO2011-12K incorporated by reference in the RFP;
5. The Contractor's Proposal, as amended, clarified, and accepted by the State; and
6. The documents and materials incorporated by reference in the Contractor's Proposal.

Notwithstanding the order listed above, amendments issued after the Contract is executed may expressly change the provisions of the Contract. If they do so expressly, then the most recent amendment will take precedence over anything else that is part of the Contract.

5.0 LINKS

To be applicable to all Proposals and subsequent award(s), including sections named below:

5.1 Instructions

- 5.1.1 Proposal Instructions
- 5.1.2 Evaluation of Proposals
- 5.1.3 Proposal Format & Documentation Required

5.2 Forms

- 5.2.1 Offeror Required Information
- 5.2.2 Contract Signature Page
- 5.2.3 Offeror Profile
- 5.2.4 Offeror Prior Projects
- 5.2.5 Offeror's Candidate References
- 5.2.6 Offeror's Candidate Education, Training, Experience
- 5.2.7 Offeror Performance Form
- 5.2.8 Contractor/Subcontractor Affirmation and Disclosure

5.3 Terms and Conditions

- 5.3.1 Performance and Payment
- 5.3.2 Work and Contract Administration
- 5.3.3 Ownership & Handling of Intellectual Property & Confidential Information
- 5.3.4 Representations, Warranties and Liabilities
- 5.3.5 Acceptance and Maintenance
- 5.3.6 Construction
- 5.3.7 Law & Courts

5.4 Additional Resources

EOD Reporting	http://eodreporting.oit.ohio.gov/searchEODReporting.aspx
Office of Budget and Management	http://obm.ohio.gov/LandingPages/Vendor/default.aspx
Office of Procurement Services	http://procure.ohio.gov/proc/index.asp
Ohio Shared Services	http://www.ohiosharedservices.ohio.gov/Home.aspx
Ohio Business Gateway	http://business.ohio.gov/
Ohio Secretary of State	http://www.sos.state.oh.us/SOS/Businesses.aspx

All links are subject to change in accordance with state of Ohio laws, Ohio Revised Code, Ohio Administrative Code, Executive Orders or any other updates issued by the state of Ohio, Department of Administrative Services, and the Office of Procurement Services. It is the Offeror's responsibility to read and be aware of any changes, corrections, updates or deletions to any information included in the link(s) above.



6.0 Guide for Proposal Submission.

This guide outlines steps for submission of a Proposal in response to the advertised Request for Proposal. This guide does not contain the complete instructions for preparing and submitting a Proposal and anything stated herein shall not be considered a term or condition of the Contract. The complete instructions can be found in section 5.1.1, Proposal Instructions.

- 6.1 _____ Read the entire document, including all Web site links. Note critical items such as: Mandatory Requirements; goods or services required, submittal date and time; number of copies to submit; contract requirements; reporting requirements; minimum qualifications; read and understand the terms and conditions.
- 6.2 _____ Take advantage of the “question and answer” period specified in the schedule of events. Questions must be submitted on-line in the Inquiry Process as explained in the Instructions. See section 5.1.1, Proposal Instructions.
- 6.3 _____ Follow the format required in the RFP Instructions when preparing the response in chronological order. Provide point-by-point responses to all sections in a clear and concise manner. See section 5.1.3, Proposal Format & Documentation Required.
- 6.4 _____ Use the forms provided; i.e. Signed RFP Cover Page, Offeror Required Information, Contract Signature Page, Offeror Profile and Prior Projects, Key Personnel forms, Disclosure Form, and Cost Summary Form, See section 5.2, Forms.
- 6.5 _____ Provide complete answers/descriptions. Do not assume the State or any evaluation committee member will know what the Offeror’s capabilities are or what items/services the Offeror can provide, even if previously contracted with the State. The Proposals are evaluated based solely on the information and materials provided in the Offeror’s response.
- 6.6 _____ Check the State’s Web site for RFP addenda. It is the responsibility of the Offeror to be aware of additional information posted on the Web.
- 6.7 _____ The following documents may be submitted with the Proposal or within five (5) business days of request from the Office of Procurement Services: Secretary of State Certification, Affirmative Action, proof of insurance. No award will be made without this documentation. Offeror’s Proposal may be eliminated from further consideration upon failure to submit within the specified time frame
- 6.8 _____ If not a current vendor of the state of Ohio, the Offeror will download both the W-9 and Vendor Information Form and submit to Ohio Shared Services (OSS) at vendor@ohio.gov. See section 5.4, Additional Resources.
- 6.9 _____ Review and read the RFP Document again to make sure that you have addressed all requirements. Read and understand Supplements, if applicable. Offeror’s original response and the requested copies must be identical and be complete. The copies are provided to the evaluation committee members and used to score the response.
- 6.10 _____ Offeror’s response must be submitted on time. Late Proposals are never accepted. Make sure the response is labeled on the exterior of the envelope/package with the RFP# and due date, and whether the packet is for the Technical Proposal or the Cost Proposal. Do not place the Cost Proposal in the Technical Proposal.

SUPPLEMENT ONE

LINK TO TVSF AND ONE FUND RFP'S

The programs may be found on this page:

http://development.ohio.gov/bs_thirdfrontier/currentprograms.htm and you will have access to the programs for each RFP, and you have to go one level deeper into each program for the RFP on each.