

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

ADDENDUM # 1

ISSUED: September 13, 2012

**RFP NUMBER: CSP903113
INDEX NUMBER: EDU100**

The State of Ohio, through the Department of Administrative Services, Office of Procurement Services, for the Ohio Department of Education is requesting proposals for:

Search Services for the State Superintendent of Public Instruction

Attached are page(s) 10 and 14 to this Request for Proposal (RFP). Remove the corresponding page(s) from the existing RFP and replace with the attached.

Reason for Addendum. This addendum is issued to change the number of Offerors that may be selected for presentations and to change the date of the presentations.

PROPOSAL DUE DATE:	September 21, 2012
OPENING LOCATION:	Department of Administrative Services General Services Bid Desk 4200 Surface Road Columbus, Ohio 43228-1395

PART FOUR: EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS. The evaluation process consists of, but is not limited to, the following steps:

1. Certification. DAS shall open only those proposals certified as timely by the Auditor of State.
2. Initial Review. DAS will review all certified Proposals for format and completeness. DAS normally rejects any incomplete or incorrectly formatted Proposal, though it may waive any defects or allow an Offeror to submit a correction. If the Offeror meets the formatting and mandatory requirements listed herein, the State will continue to evaluate the proposal.
3. Proposal Evaluation. The procurement representative responsible for this RFP will forward all timely, complete, and properly formatted Proposals to an evaluation committee, which the procurement representative will chair. The evaluation committee will rate the Proposals submitted in response to this RFP based on criteria and weight assigned to each criterion.

The evaluation committee will evaluate and numerically score each Proposal that the procurement representative has determined to be responsive to the requirements of this RFP. The evaluation will be according to the criteria contained in this Part of the RFP. An attachment to this RFP may further refine these criteria, and DAS has a right to break these criteria into components and weight any components of a criterion according to their perceived importance.

The committee may also have the Proposals or portions of them reviewed and evaluated by independent third parties or various State personnel with technical or professional experience that relates to the Work or to a criterion in the evaluation process. The committee may also seek reviews of end users of the Work or the advice or evaluations of various State committees that have subject matter expertise or an interest in the Work. In seeking such reviews, evaluations, and advice, the committee will first decide how to incorporate the results in the scoring of the Proposals. The committee may adopt or reject any recommendations it receives from such reviews and evaluations.

The evaluation will result in a point total being calculated for each Proposal. At the sole discretion of DAS, any Proposal, in which the Offeror received a significant number of zeros for sections in the technical portions of the evaluation, may be rejected.

DAS will document all major decisions in writing and make these a part of the Contract file along with the evaluation results for each Proposal considered.

4. Clarifications & Corrections. During the evaluation process, DAS may request clarifications from any Offeror under active consideration and may give any Offeror the opportunity to correct defects in its Proposal if DAS believes doing so does not result in an unfair advantage for the Offeror and it is in the State's best interests. Any clarification response that is broader in scope than what DAS has requested may result in the Offeror's proposal being disqualified.
5. *Interviews, Demonstrations, and Presentations. DAS may require top Offerors to be interviewed. Such presentations, demonstrations, and interviews will provide an Offeror with an opportunity to clarify its Proposal and to ensure a mutual understanding of the Proposal's content. This will also allow DAS an opportunity to test or probe the professionalism, qualifications, skills, and work knowledge of the proposed candidates. The presentations, demonstrations, and interviews will be scheduled at the convenience and discretion of DAS. DAS may record any presentations, demonstrations, and interviews. No more than the top five (5) Proposals may be requested to present an oral presentation of their proposed Work Plan to the Executive Committee of the State Board of Education.
6. Contract Negotiations. Negotiations will be scheduled at the convenience of DAS. The selected Offeror(s) are expected to negotiate in good faith.
 - a. General. Negotiations may be conducted with any Offeror who submits a competitive Proposal, but DAS may limit discussions to specific aspects of the RFP. Any clarifications, corrections, or negotiated revisions that may occur during the negotiations phase will be reduced to writing and incorporated in the RFP, or the Offeror's Proposal, as appropriate. Negotiated changes that are reduced to writing will become a part of the Contract file open to inspection to the public upon award of the Contract. Any Offeror whose response continues to be competitive will be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any clarification, correction, or revision of the RFP and will be given the opportunity to negotiate revisions to its Proposal based on the amended RFP.
 - b. Top-ranked Offeror. Should the evaluation process have resulted in a top-ranked Proposal, DAS may limit negotiations to only that Offeror and not hold negotiations with any lower-ranking Offeror. If negotiations are unsuccessful with the top-ranked Offeror, DAS may then go down the line of remaining Offerors, according to

*Indicates change 09/13/12.

COST PROPOSAL POINTS. DAS will calculate the Offeror's Cost Proposal points after the Offeror's total technical points are determined, using the following method:

Cost points = (lowest Offeror's cost/Offeror's cost) x Maximum Allowable Cost Points as indicated in the "Scoring Breakdown" table. The value is provided in the Scoring Breakdown table. "Cost" = Total Not to Exceed Cost identified in the Cost Summary section of Offeror Proposals. In this method, the lowest cost proposed will receive the Maximum Allowable Points.

The number of points assigned to the cost evaluation will be prorated, with the lowest accepted cost proposal given the maximum number of points possible for this criterion. Other acceptable cost proposals will be scored as the ratio of the lowest price proposal to the proposal being scored, multiplied by the maximum number of points possible for this criterion.

An example for calculating cost points, where Maximum Allowable Cost Points Value = 60 points, is the scenario where Offeror X has proposed a cost of \$100.00. Offeror Y has proposed a cost of \$110.00 and Offeror Z has proposed a cost of \$120.00. Offeror X, having the lowest cost, would get the maximum 60 cost points. Offeror Y's cost points would be calculated as \$100.00 (Offeror X's cost) divided by \$110.00 (Offeror Y's cost) equals 0.909 times 60 maximum points, or a total of 54.5 points. Offeror Z's cost points would be calculated as \$100.00 (Offeror X's cost) divided by \$120.00 (Offeror Z's cost) equals 0.833 times 60 maximum points, or a total of 50 points.

Cost Score: _____

FINAL STAGES OF EVALUATION. The Offeror with the highest point total from all phases of the evaluation (Technical Points + Cost Points) will be recommended for the next phase of the evaluation.

Technical Score: _____ + Cost Score: _____ = Total Score: _____

If DAS finds that one or more Proposals should be given further consideration, DAS may select one or more of the highest-ranking Proposals to move to the next phase. DAS may alternatively choose to bypass any or all subsequent phases and make an award based solely on the proposal evaluation phase.

*Selected Offerors will be invited to make an oral presentation(s) to the Executive Committee of the State Board of Education on October 7, 2012. The oral presentations will be scored. The selected Offerors will receive the scoring criteria and an agenda prior to the meeting.

REJECTION OF PROPOSALS. DAS may reject any Proposal that is not in the required format, does not address all the requirements of this RFP, or that DAS believes is excessive in price or otherwise not in its interests to consider or to accept. In addition, DAS may cancel this RFP, reject all the Proposals, and seek to do the Project through a new RFP or by other means.

DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSAL CONTENTS. DAS will seek to open the Proposals in a manner that avoids disclosing their contents. Additionally, DAS will seek to keep the contents of all Proposals confidential until the Contract is awarded. DAS will prepare a registry of Proposals containing the name and address of each Offeror. That registry will be open for public inspection after the Proposals are opened.

*Indicates change 09/13/12.